

**UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM FLUMINENSIS
UNIVERSITY OF RIJEKA**



**UNIVERSITY OF RIJEKA
STUDY REGULATIONS
(consolidated text)**

Rijeka, June 5th, 2018

CONTENTS

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
II. STUDENTS	7
III. GOVERNANCE AND EXECUTION OF STUDY PROGRAMS	8
<i>Center for Studies</i>	10
IV. UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE UNIVERSITY STUDY PROGRAMS	12
<i>Enrollment</i>	12
<i>Governance and Execution of the Study Programs</i>	14
<i>Enrollment in Higher Years of the Study Program</i>	16
<i>Exams</i>	16
<i>Exam Registration and Withdrawal</i>	21
<i>Exams Records</i>	21
<i>Completion of the Study Program</i>	22
V. POSTGRADUATE STUDY PROGRAMS	23
<i>Postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program)</i>	23
<i>Postgraduate specialist study program</i>	33
VI. PROFESSIONAL STUDY PROGRAMS	33
VII. QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT	33
VIII. TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS	34

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1

Introductory provision

These Study Regulations define types and levels of study, study program holder, study programs, structure and execution of independent, joint and combined studies, undergraduate university study programs, graduate university study programs, postgraduate study programs (postgraduate university study programs – doctoral and postgraduate specialist study programs) and professional study programs (short professional study program, undergraduate professional study program, and specialist graduate professional study program) at the University of Rijeka (hereinafter: University).

Article 2

Types and levels of study programs

- (1) Higher education at the University is executed through university and professional study programs.
- (2) University education includes:
 - undergraduate university study program,
 - graduate university study program,
 - postgraduate study program.
- (3) Professional education includes:
 - short professional study program,
 - undergraduate professional study program,
 - specialist graduate professional study program.
- (4) Professional studies can be executed at the University only with the approval of the National Council for Science, Higher Education and Technological Development, under the Act of Scientific Activity and Higher Education.
- (5) Study programs can be executed as an integrated undergraduate and graduate university study program, completion of which requires at least 300 ECTS credit points.
- (6) University can accredit and integrate undergraduate and graduate university study program from the aforementioned article with the approval of the National Council for Science, Higher Education and Technological Development.
- (7) University can execute various educational programs that are not considered study programs under the Act of Scientific Activity and Higher Education but are based on the principles of lifelong learning.
- (8) Provisions of these Study Regulations apply to university study programs and university lifelong learning programs unless it is regulated differently with the Regulations of Lifelong Learning at the University of Rijeka.

Article 3

Study program holder

- (1) The structure and execution holder of the independent study program is the University, faculty, or art academy.
- (2) The structure and execution holder of independent study program at the university department is the University.
- (3) When a study program is structured and organized as a joint or combined study program conducted by two or more higher education institutions, or with the participation of university department, scientific institute or any other domestic or foreign university or scientific institution, the Senate decides on the program holder between the participants of the joint or combined study.

Article 4

Undergraduate and graduate university study programs

- (1) The study program plan holds the general part, the program, and the feasibility study.
- (2) General part includes:
 - name of the study program holder,
 - name of the study program,
 - study program compliance with the strategy of the component and the University,
 - explanation of the need for the study under national priorities and needs of the professional sector,
 - comparability of the study program with the programs of the accredited higher education institutions in Croatia and the EU.
- (3) Program includes:
 1. description of the academic degree profile, study goals and outcomes, i.e. general and specific competencies, skills and knowledge, as well as the name of the qualifications in the CROQF Record to which the program is related (if applicable),
 2. organization of the full-time study and part-time study,
 3. enrollment requirements,
 4. obligatory and elective courses (modules), especially list of courses, framework course content and hours of active teaching, the point value for the course under ECTS credits with an explanation, instruction delivery methods and types of knowledge assessment, assigned reading list, the way of monitoring of quality and efficiency of course execution,
 5. obligatory and elective activities (especially participating in seminars, conferences, round tables, etc.),
 6. study structure, the rhythm of studying and student obligations (especially requirements for student progression to the next semester, trimester or module, i.e. a higher year of study as well as requirements for enrolling for a particular course or group of courses),

7. scoring method and distribution of credit points concerning the degree of success of the achievement of learning outcome realization,
 8. conditions for transferring credit awarded on other study programs,
 9. number of ECTS credit points which can be awarded during national or international mobility,
 10. completion of studies,
 11. the way of monitoring of quality and efficiency of study program execution (especially the way of student participation in the assessment of the program of each course and its execution).
- (4) The feasibility study includes:
- place of study program execution,
 - space and equipment,
 - study program execution staff (professors and associates),
 - study fees,
 - an optimal number of students enrolled with regards to the space, equipment, and the number of professors.

Article 4 a Curriculum

- (1) Curriculum determines:
1. professors and associates to execute the courses according to the study program,
 2. place of course execution,
 3. beginning and ending, and course timetable,
 4. assigned reading list and taking exams,
 5. forms of teaching (lectures, seminars, exercises, consultations, knowledge assessment, etc.),
 6. ways of monitoring students and a way of evaluating their work throughout a course,
 7. the manner of taking the exam,
 8. examination period,
 9. possibility of holding a course in a foreign language,
 10. other important facts for proper course execution.
- (2) Curricula must be made public before the beginning of the academic year. The element of curriculum referred to in paragraph 1, point 6 (ways of monitoring students and a way of evaluating their work throughout a course) cannot be modified during the academic year.
- (3) The study program can be organized via a distance learning system, which must be approved by the National Council for Science, Higher Education and Technological Development.

Article 5

Postgraduate university study programs

(1) The program of the postgraduate university study program, in addition to all outlined in Article 4, contains:

- list of excellent scientific projects, places of their execution and leaders who can be mentors in the preparation of doctoral theses (dissertations); for potential mentors it is necessary to meet the conditions prescribed by the document *Minimum criteria for the selection of mentors at postgraduate university study programs at the University of Rijeka*,
- doctoral student status,
- how the constituent will provide part of the doctoral student's education in another or foreign institution,
- how institutional support will be provided to students and ensure their progress until the defense of the doctoral thesis within a period not exceeding six (6) years,
- conditions and manner of earning a Ph.D. by enrolling in a doctoral study program and creating a Ph.D. thesis without attending classes and taking exams,
- forms for monitoring doctoral study program, which is to be submitted by the holders of doctoral study program if they have not prescribed specific forms for their doctoral study programs, are attached to this Study Regulations and are an integral part of it: *Conditions for Selecting a Mentor, Application for the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis, Evaluation of the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis, Approval of the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis, Semi-annual Ph.D. Student Report, Annual Ph.D. Student Report, Semi-annual Report of the Advisor or Mentor, Annual Report of the Advisor or Mentor, Request for Topic or Mentor Change, Request for the Postponement of Public Hearing, Annual Report on the Work of the Study Program, Ph.D. Thesis Evaluation Report, Ph.D. Thesis Defense Protocol.*

(2) The procedure for accreditation of postgraduate study programs is prescribed by the Regulations on the accreditation of study programs of the University of Rijeka.

Article 6

Article deleted.

Article 7

Implementing documents

(1) To recognize and understand the education system concerning other systems and to organize the mobility of teachers, students and the workforce, as well as lifelong learning, the holder of the study program is obliged to prepare implementation documents, in particular, the following:

- Information package for transfer of ECTS credits,
- Study agreement,
- Transcript of grades,
- Diploma supplement.

(2) The content and form of implementing documents (except for the content of the Diploma Supplement) shall be prescribed by the Senate.

II. STUDENTS

Article 8

Gaining student status

- 1) Student status is acquired by enrollment in the University, i.e. its constituent.
- 2) Full-time students are those whose study program is based on a full-time teaching load.
- 3) Part-time students are those who enroll in an educational program whilst having a job or other activity that requires specially tailored terms and methods of study according to the curriculum.
- 4) The cost of a full-time study program is partially or fully subsidized from the state budget, depending on the student's success in the enrolment process, or in each year of study. The cost of part-time studies is entirely borne by the student.
- 5) The criteria and conditions for the payment of study programs are set forth by the Senate.

Article 9

Student status termination

Student status is terminated when:

- the student completes their studies,
- the student drops out of the University,
- the student fails to enroll in the next academic year,
- the student fails to complete the study program within the period stipulated by the study program and other general acts,
- the student is excluded from the study program for reasons determined by other general acts of the University and its constituents.

Article 10

Study Agreement

- (1) A study agreement is concluded with the student.
- (2) The agreement referred to in the preceding paragraph shall determine the status of the student, the amount of the fee, and the rights and obligations of the parties.
- (3) A study agreement on studying at a non-parent higher education institution which ensures the recognition of acquired ECTS credits or periods of study at a non-parent higher education

institution (host institution) by the home higher education institution shall be signed by the student, home higher education institution and non-parent higher education institution.

Article 11.

Article deleted.

III. GOVERNANCE AND EXECUTION OF STUDY PROGRAMS

Article 12

The Dean

(1) The Dean, i.e. the Head of the University department, is responsible for the execution of study programs.

(2) The Dean, i.e. the Head of the University department, may appoint the Head of the study program. The Head of the study program may be the Vice-Dean for Teaching Affairs.

Article 13

The Head of the study program

The Head of the study program:

- prepares the establishment and start of the study program,
- seeks to modernize the study program,
- in agreement with the teachers who are holders of the courses, determines the schedule of lectures, seminars, exercises, consultations and exams, and
- assists students in choosing a mentor, proposing and submitting applications for B.A. Thesis, and proposing elective courses.

Article 14

Study Program Council

(1) The study program may have the Study Program Council, which consists of all teachers holding lectures within the study program.

(2) The Study Program Council may, if necessary, appoint a secretary for the study program.

Article 15

Study Program Secretary

The study program secretary:

- monitors the records of student enrollment, financial results of enrollment and spending of funds and informs the Head of the study program,
- takes care of documentation and other acts related to the study program, participates in the preparation of materials for the sessions of the Study Program Council, i.e. the Expert Council when the council discusses the study program,
- keeps minutes from the sessions of the Study Program Council, i.e. the Expert Council when the council discusses the study program,
- monitors the implementation of decisions and conclusions of the Study Program Council, i.e. the Expert Council related to the study program,
- informs teachers about the schedule of classes and takes care of regular classes,
- organizes and attends the process of defense of B.A. theses and student promotion.

Article 16 **Expert Council**

(1) The Expert Council of the study program holder, i.e. the University department, at the proposal of the Head of the study program:

- determines the proposal of the study program and its amendments,
- decides on the appointment of the course holder or entrusting the teaching of the courses within the study program.

(2) Following the proposal of the Study Program Council, the Expert Council:

- issues or proposes to the Senate to issue a tender for student enrollment,
- decides on the appointment of mentors and members of the evaluation committee and defense of B.A. theses,
- decides on the acceptance of the B.A. theses.

(3) Expert Council also decides on other issues related to the organization and implementation of study programs under the University Statute, these Study Regulations, and general acts of the study program holder.

Article 17 **Agreement on joined or combined study program**

(1) Participants of a joint or combined study program agree on a joint or combined study program.

(2) The agreement on joint or combined study program determines the conditions and ways of performing the study program, joint use of space and equipment, conditions of acquisition and allocation of funds, and other rights and obligations of participants in a joint or combined study program.

(3) The agreement on a joint or combined study program stipulates that students enroll in a study program at a higher education institution where they attend the majority of classes according to the study program.

(4) When the execution of a part of classes or only one course is entrusted to a higher education institution or scientific institute outside the University, the manner and structure of teaching are regulated by an agreement between the holder of a joint or combined study program and the higher education institution or scientific institute outside the University. A student who attends part of a joint or combined study program at another higher education institution or scientific institute outside the University shall have this information entered in the relevant student document.

Article 18

Committee for a joint or combined study program

(1) The holder of a joint or combined study program establishes a committee for a joint or combined study program, consisting of representatives of higher education institutions, university departments, and scientific institutes participating in teaching.

(2) The Committee for the joint or combined study program prepares the study program of a joint or combined study program. After the study program is accepted by the Commission for Accreditation and Evaluation of Study Programs, it is referred to the Senate for adoption.

Center for Studies

Article 19

Mission

The Center for Studies (hereinafter: the Center) is a professional service of the University that manages and coordinates the organization, implementation, and development of study programs at the University.

Article 20

The bodies of the Center

(1) The bodies of the Center are:

- the Head
- the Expert Council.

(2) The Head of the Center is, by his/her position, the Vice-Rector for Studies. Exceptionally, at the proposal of the Rector, the Senate may appoint a member of the Expert Council of the Center as the Head.

(3) The Head of the Center has a deputy who assists him/her in his work and replaces him in case of absence. The Deputy Head, upon the proposal of the Head, is elected by the members of the Expert Council for a term that lasts until the expiration of the term of the Head.

(4) The Expert Council of the Center consists of the Vice-Deans for Teaching Affairs of the University constituents and Deputy Heads of the University constituents.

(5) Seven (7) members of the Expert Council of the Center for Studies from the field of science and arts are appointed by the Senate on the proposal of the Rector from among University teachers elected to the title of assistant professors and scientists elected to the scientific title of research associates and higher. Their term of office is three (3) years and may be renewed.

(6) Three (3) members of the Expert Council of the Center for Studies are appointed by the Senate from among the students of undergraduate and graduate university study programs at the proposal of the Assembly of the Student Union. Their term of office is one (1) year, i.e. until the termination of student status.

(7) The term of office of the members of the Expert Council of the Center by position shall last as long as they perform the duty referred to in paragraph 4 of this Article.

(8) The President of the Committee for Quality Assurance and Improvement, and if necessary, the President of the Commission for Accreditation and Evaluation of Study Programs and the President of the Committee for Lifelong Learning also participate in the work of the Expert Council of the Center.

Article 21

The Head of the Center

The Head of the Center:

- organizes the work of the Center,
- proposes to the Senate the work program of the Center for the current academic year,
- takes measures for the normal conduct of the study programs when the holder of the study program is the University,
- implements the decisions and conclusions of the Senate and the Expert Council of the Center,
- convenes the sessions of the Expert Council of the Center, chairs its sessions and signs all acts and decisions adopted by the Expert Council,
- once a year, as a rule at the end of the academic year, submits a report on the work of the Center to the Senate.

Article 22

Expert Council of the Center

(1) Expert Council of the Center:

- takes care of the timely announcement of tenders for enrollment of students in study programs,
- proposes to the Senate the establishment of permanent or temporary committees for study programs,
- analyzes the success rate of the teaching process in study programs and proposes to the Senate measures for their improvement,
- monitors the development of study programs at other national and international universities,
- takes care of international projects related to the development of study programs at the University.

(2) Expert Council of the Center also performs other tasks under the decisions of the Senate.

Article 23

Article deleted.

IV. UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE UNIVERSITY STUDY PROGRAMS

Enrollment

Article 24

Application for the enrollment tender

- (1) The applicant is obliged to apply for the enrollment tender by the date specified in the tender.
- (2) In the application, the applicant must indicate for which study program he/she is applying and whether he/she is applying for enrollment in a full-time or part-time study program.
- (3) Along with the application for the tender, the applicant is obliged to enclose the documents determined in the tender.

Article 25

The right to apply for the tender for enrollment in university undergraduate study program integrated undergraduate and graduate university study program, short professional and undergraduate professional study programs

- (1) The right to apply for the tender for enrollment in university undergraduate study program, as well as an integrated undergraduate and graduate university study program, short professional and undergraduate professional study program has an applicant with a high school diploma or a corresponding secondary education.
- (2) The conditions for enrollment in study programs referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be determined by the study program.
- (3) If provided by a particular study program and under the conditions prescribed by it, the applicant may enroll in the study program without previously completed appropriate education, insofar as it refers to extremely talented individuals who can be expected to complete the study program without completed previous education.

Article 26

The right to apply for the tender for enrollment in graduate study programs

- (1) The right to apply for the tender for enrollment in a graduate study program has an applicant with the completed appropriate undergraduate study program.
- (2) The conditions for enrollment in the graduate study program are determined by the study program.
- (3) If provided by a particular study program and under the conditions prescribed by it, the applicant may enroll in the study program without previously completed appropriate education, insofar as it refers to extremely talented individuals who can be expected to complete the study program without completed previous education.

Article 27

The right to enroll in the first year of the study program

- (1) The right to enroll in the first year of study is acquired by the applicant under the conditions and criteria set out in the enrollment tender, and according to the points achieved in the admission procedure.
- (2) An applicant who has earned the right to enroll, but has not enrolled within the announced deadline, loses the right to enroll.
- (3) Persons who are not citizens of European Union countries and stateless persons, but do not have a permanent residence permit in the Republic of Croatia, have the right to enroll in study programs under the same conditions as Croatian citizens, but under the decision of the competent national body or the Senate bear the full or partial cost of the study program.

Article 28

Admission procedure

The Expert Council of the study program holder shall determine the elements of which the admission procedure consists.

Article 29

Enrollment Commission

- (1) The admission procedure is carried out by the Enrollment Commission (if applicable).
- (2) The members of the Enrollment Commission are appointed by the Expert Council of the study program holder, i.e. the University department, from among the teachers at least one month before issuing the enrollment tender.
- (3) The Enrollment Commission submits a report on the results of student enrollment to the Expert Council of the study program holder, i.e. the University department, and to the Center for Studies.

Article 30
The right to object

- (1) The applicant has the right to object, in person or by e-mail, to the correctness of the implementation of the admission procedure to the Enrollment Commission within 24 hours from the publication of the list.
- (2) The Commission is obliged to consider the applicant's complaint within 24 hours of its submission.

Governance and Execution of Study Programs

Article 31
Full-time and part-time study programs

- (1) Undergraduate and graduate university study programs are organized and conducted as a full-time or part-time study program.
- (2) The workload of full-time students during the academic year can be a minimum of 38 and a maximum of 42 weeks, or 1,500 to 1,800 working hours, including exams.
- (3) The total obligations of full-time students may be a maximum of 48 hours per week, and not less than 40 hours per week, of which active classes are at least 15 and at most 30 hours per week. Exceptionally, the obligations of students may be bigger in the case of increased practical work (within the workload of ECTS credits defined in Article 33, paragraph 3 of this Study Regulations), and under the study program. Active classes include lectures, seminars, exercises, practical work, fieldwork, midterm exams (e.g. colloquia, etc.), practical assignments, and other forms of teaching performed during the semester.
- (4) The obligations of part-time students are adjusted to the possibilities of their attendance at classes, of which active classes cannot be less than 50% of the total fund of hours planned for full-time students.

Article 32
Article deleted.

Article 33
European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System

- (1) European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) includes:
 - precise determination of expected learning outcomes for each course (module),
 - determining the workload of students for all planned activities in each course (module),

- determining the achievement assessment method for each defined learning outcome,
 - determining the method of scoring/evaluation of each activity.
- (2) ECTS credits are a numerical value added to an individual course (module) that reflects the work of students required to fulfill all required obligations.
- (3) One (1) ECTS credit represents, as a rule, 30 hours of total average student work invested in meeting learning outcomes, including classes, independent work, exams, and all activities required for taking exams.
- (4) ECTS credits are acquired after the successful fulfillment of all required obligations and the application of appropriate methods for assessing the determined learning outcomes, i.e. the exam passed.

Article 34

Transcript of ECTS credits and Diploma supplement

- (1) The holder of the study program is obliged to provide each student with a transcript of all elements necessary for the transfer and recognition of ECTS credit points (ECTS Transcript of Records).
- (2) Upon completion of the study program, the holder of the study program is obliged to issue a Diploma supplement in Croatian and English without charge.

Article 35

Top athletes, artists and students with 60% and greater physical impairment and student representatives in University and University constituents bodies

- (1) To a full-time student who has been granted the status of a top athlete, artist or student with 60% or greater physical impairment (disability), the Expert Council of the study program holder may permit to complete the enrolled study program under the conditions established for part-time students (Article 31, paragraph 4 of this Study Regulations), regardless of whether the study program in question otherwise enables study in the status of a part-time student.
- (2) If the minimum number of hours of class attendance is prescribed as a condition to access some form of monitoring and assessment of students during classes or to take the exam, students who are absent from classes to participate in the work of University and University constituents bodies in their role as student representatives shall not lose their right to access such a form of monitoring and grading during classes or the right to take the exam.

Enrollment in higher years of the study program

Article 36

Progression through the study program

- (1) A full-time student enrolls in courses worth 60 ECTS credits in each academic year, and a part-time student enrolls in courses worth at least 30 ECTS credits.
- (2) A full-time student who did not obtain required ECTS credits in the academic year is required to re-enroll in the same courses in the new academic year and, under the study program, compensate for the difference of up to 60 ECTS credits, and a part-time student a difference of up to 30 ECTS credits. The allowed deviation amounts to a total of 5% of ECTS credits from the minimum number of ECTS credits in the study program or, exceptionally, one course up to a maximum of 12 ECTS, all under the study program.
- (3) The student has the right and obligation to enroll in a course for which they did not obtain the enrolled ECTS credits, taking into account that the total duration of study may be no longer than twice the duration determined by the study program.
- (4) A student who has obtained the required 60 ECTS credits in the previous academic year may be granted an accelerated study program in the manner and under the conditions prescribed by the study program (exceptionally and outside the limits prescribed in paragraph 2 of this Article).

Article 37

Study program modification

In the event of any modification and/or amendments to the study program, a student who enrolls the difference in ECTS credits or continues their study after the cessation enrolls the difference in ECTS credits according to the new study program.

Exams

Article 38

Exam

- (1) The student takes the exam in the course they enrolled in according to the content determined by the study program. Exceptionally, the study program can determine the acquisition of ECTS credit points in a particular course and without taking exams.
- (2) The exam can be taken by a student who has met all the requirements prescribed by the study program.
- (3) The exam is taken as a written test, oral test, practical work, or any combination of these forms. Oral and written exams are conducted through exam questions that are compiled based on

anticipated learning outcomes (knowledge, skills, and general competencies) and which are regularly evaluated.

(4) The practical part of the exam can be done separately from the theoretical one, and the entire exam must be completed in five (5) working days.

(5) Students have the right to attend an oral examination of other candidates. The results of the exam are public, in compliance with the regulations on personal data protection.

(6) Exams are free of charge.

Article 38 a

Recognition of an exam passed at another higher education institution or another study program

(1) A student who has passed an exam at another higher education institution or another study program may be recognized as having passed the exam if the course from which the exam was passed corresponds to the course enrolled in terms of its content, scope, and learning outcomes.

(2) If the course in its content and scope approximately corresponds to the course that the student has enrolled in, the teacher may accept the exam in its entirety or require the student to pass the difference.

Article 39

Exams schedule

(1) The holder of the study program is obliged to define at least three (3) exam dates for each course, and the student may take the exam in the same course no more than three (3) times in one year.

(2) When classes are organized as modular, the holder of the study program is obliged to determine two (2) exam dates immediately after the end of classes within 15 days. These two dates are considered the regular exam period. The second exam period can be organized as an extraordinary exam period in September, and exceptionally in the second period, under the study program.

(3) When classes in a course or module are organized during the entire semester or trimester, the holder of the study program is obliged to determine two exam dates immediately after the end of classes within 30 days. These two dates are considered the regular exam period. The second exam period can be organized as an extraordinary exam period in September, and exceptionally in the second period, under the study program.

(4) During the extraordinary exam period in September, exceptionally in the second period, the interval between two exam dates does not have to be 14 days.

Article 40
Verification of exams implementation

- (1) In case of prolonged incapacity of the course holder (teacher), the Dean or the Head of the University department shall entrust the holding of the exam to another teacher of the same or related profession, or the teaching committee.
- (2) In the case of initiated disciplinary or ethical proceedings and the case of conflict of interest, and given the circumstances of the case, the Dean or Head of the University department may entrust the examination to another teacher of the same or related profession, or the teaching committee.

Article 41
Assessment and evaluation of student work

- (1) Student work on the course (module) is evaluated and assessed during classes and at the final exam under the study program.
- (2) Student assessment is performed using the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) and the number system.
- (3) Assessment within the ECTS system is performed according to the overall success.

Article 41
Assessment within the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System

- (1) The student's success in each course is expressed by the ECTS grading scale in percentages from 0 to 100% of the grade points, where the passing grade cannot be lower than 50% of the grade points.
- (2) Monitoring and grading of students for each course (module) are performed during classes and at the final exam, with each University constituent determining it in more detail according to its rules and/or study program, where students in each course during classes can achieve from at least 50% to a maximum of 70% of the grade points, while the remaining percentage of grade points, from a maximum of 50% to a minimum of 30%, can be obtained in the final exam.
- (3) Students who have during classes obtained:
- from 0 to 49.9% of grade points out of the total grade points that could be obtained during classes through forms of continuous student monitoring and evaluation under the rules and/or study program of the University constituents are graded F (unsuccessful), can not earn ECTS credits and must re-enroll the course,
 - 50% and more of grade points out of the total grade points that could be obtained during the course through forms of continuous student monitoring and evaluation under the rules and/or study program of the University constituent are allowed to take the final exam.
- (4) The final exam threshold may not be less than 50% of the successfully passed exam.

(5) The final grade represents the sum of the percentage achieved during classes and the percentage achieved in the final exam and is determined under Article 43 of these Study Regulations.

(6) Exceptionally in some study programs due to the specifics of testing students' knowledge, skills, and competencies, at the proposal of the constituent's council with the consent of the Commission for Accreditation of Study Programs, the Senate shall pass the decision to derogate from paragraphs 1 to 5 of this Article.

Article 43

Grading students based on the overall success

(1) The grading of students based on final overall success is performed as follows:

- grade excellent (5) corresponds to an A in the ECTS scale and a success rate of 90 to 100%,
- grade very good (4) corresponds to a B in the ECTS scale and a success rate of 75 to 89.9%,
- grade good (3) corresponds to a C in the ECTS scale and a success rate of 60 to 74.9%,
- grade sufficient (2) corresponds to a D in the ECTS scale and a success rate of 50 to 59.9%,
- grade insufficient (1) corresponds to an F in the ECTS scale and a success rate of 0 to 49.9%.

(2) For courses that have been determined by the study program to express a descriptive grade, the teacher finally assigns a 'passing' grade to the student who obtained 50% or more of the grade points.

Article 44

The entry of passing grades in the relevant document

(1) Passing grades are: excellent (5), very good (4), good (3), and sufficient (2).

(2) The numerical grade, ECTS grade, and the percentage of achieved grade points are entered in the index and/or other appropriate documents and/or student records.

Article 45

Teacher's duties

(1) The teacher is obliged to inform the student about the result of the oral exam immediately after the exam, and the result of the written part of the exam no later than five (5) working days from the day of the exam by posting it on the official website, constituent's bulletin board, via e-learning system or other information system or in another appropriate way.

(2) The teacher is obliged to grade the student's exam with a grade insufficient (1) when the student:

- leaves the room where the written part of the exam is held or give up the already started oral exam,
- does not take the oral part of the exam after the written part of the exam,

- is removed from the exam for misconduct, disturbing other students, or using illicit aids.

(3) The teacher is obliged to allow the student to inspect the written exam, colloquium or other forms of monitoring performance during classes and provide feedback (e.g. explain the scoring of each answer/activity, explain the shortcomings of one's work, etc.) in terms defined on the published results or at the first subsequent office hours (individual consultations).

Article 46

Grade appeal process

(1) A student who considers they have been damaged by the grade/assessment at the final exam has the right to submit a written complaint to the Dean or the Head of the department within 24 hours after the announcement of the grade. The complaint must be substantiated.

(2) If the complaint is considered well-founded, the Dean or the Head of the department shall, within 24 hours of receiving the complaint, appoint a committee composed of three members. A teacher whose grade a student was not satisfied with cannot be the chairman of the committee.

(3) A written exam or a written part of the exam shall not be retaken before the committee, but it will be re-assessed. If the exam is oral or consists of an oral part, the Dean or the Head of the department will determine the date/time of taking the exam, which should be held as early as possible, but cannot be held later than three (3) working days after the submitted complaint.

(4) The Committee shall adopt its decision by a majority of votes.

Article 47

Exam calendar and schedule

(1) The exam calendar is published at the beginning of each academic year on the occasion of the publication of the curriculum on the official website of the University or the holder of the study program.

(2) The exam schedule is determined so that the number of exam dates for each course in each exam period includes all the students who have the right to take the exam in question. In each regular term, the teacher must offer at least two (2) exam dates.

(3) The period between taking the exam in the same course has to be at least 14 days, except in the case of modular classes referred to in Article 39, paragraph 2 of this Study Regulations.

Article 48

Exam time

The exam schedule for registered students is published no later than one (1) working day before the exam on the official website, the bulletin board of the holder of the study program, via the e-learning system, or another information system.

Exam registration and withdrawal

Article 49

Registration process

- (1) The student registers for the exam with a certified application form or via the electronic application system.
- (2) The student is required to apply for the exam no later than two (2) days before the exam.
- (3) A student who is registered through the Information System of Higher Education Institutions (ISVU or another information system) registers for the exam via a local computer or website using the *Studomat* program module within the deadline defined in the system by the parameters of the institution.
- (4) The teacher is not obliged to permit the student to take the exam if they did not apply within the deadline.

Article 50

Withdrawal process

- (1) The student may decide to withdraw from the exam no later than 24 hours before the day set for taking the exam. In that case, it will be considered that the exam was not even registered for.
- (2) The student withdraws from the exam by submitting a deregistration form to the Student's Office. For such a student, the teacher shall add 'withdrawn' to the application form.
- (3) A student who is registered through the Information System of Higher Education Institutions (ISVU or another information system) withdraws from the exam via a local computer or website using the *Studomat* program module within the deadline defined in the system by the parameters of the institution.
- (4) A student who does not withdraw from the exam registered for on time and does not take the exam shall be considered to have failed the exam, and the teacher shall add 'did not take the exam' and 'zero (0)' to the application form or in the student records.

Exams records

Article 51

Duty to keep records

- (1) Official records are kept on all the exams held.
- (2) The teacher is obliged to submit the records of the exams held or the completed exam lists to the competent service at the constituent within eight (8) working days from the day of the completed exam.

Article 52

Article deleted.

Completion of the study program

Article 53

Final paper and final exam

- (1) The undergraduate (B.A.) university study program is deemed to be completed upon passing all the exams and the creation of the final paper (B.A. Thesis) and/or taking the final exam under the study program.
- (2) The graduate (M.A.) university study program is deemed to be completed upon passing all the exams, creating an M.A. Thesis and/or taking a final (graduate) exam under the study program.
- (3) Under its acts, the holder of the study program regulates all the issues related to the final paper and the final exam, such as final paper topic registration, final paper preparation and equipping, final exam registration, final paper assessment and evaluation, final paper defense protocol, promotion, and initiating and conducting the procedure of revoking an academic or professional title.

Article 54

Overall success (honors classification)

- (1) Based on the average percentage of grade points at the end of the study program, the final average of grade points from all passed courses is stated through a single comprehensive classification of qualifications (honor classification) as follows:
 - *First-Class Honors* (90-100% of study program grade points),
 - *Upper Second-Class Honors* (80-89.9% of study program grade points),
 - *Lower Second-Class Honors* (70-79.9% of study program grade points),
 - *Third-Class Honors* (60-69.9% of study program grade points),
 - *Pass* (50-59.9% of study program grade points).
- (2) Students with the highest final average percentage of acquired knowledge, skills, and competencies at the end of their study program are awarded a diploma with a commendation from the Latin classification of commendations:
 - student 'with highest distinction': *SUMMA CUM LAUDE Baccalaureus*, i.e. *SUMMA CUM LAUDE Magistar*
 - student 'with great distinction': *MAGNA CUM LAUDE Baccalaureus*. i.e. *MAGNA CUM LAUDE Magistar*
 - student 'with distinction': *CUM LAUDE Baccalaureus*, i.e. *CUM LAUDE Magistar*
- (3) Criteria for commendations are determined by the holder of the study program.

V. POSTGRADUATE STUDY PROGRAMS

Postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program)

Article 55

Public tender

- (1) Enrollment in a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) is carried out based on a public tender issued by the Expert Council of the holder of the study program, as a rule, six (6) months before the start of classes.
- (2) The public tender contains information on the conditions of enrollment, the number of vacancies, the documents ought to be attached to the application and the cost of the study program, and the deadline for applications and enrollment.
- (3) The Expert Council of the holder of the study program makes the final decision on conducting a postgraduate university (Ph.D.) study program for which a public tender has been issued if there is a sufficient number of applicants who meet the conditions for enrollment.

Article 56

Applying for the tender

- (1) The applicant is obliged to apply for the enrollment tender by the day determined in the tender.
- (2) In the application, the applicant must indicate whether they are applying for a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) as a full-time or part-time student.
- (3) Along with the application for the tender, the applicant is obliged to enclose all the documents determined by the tender.
- (4) The committee for postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program), i.e. the council of the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) of the holder of the study program determines whether the applicant meets the conditions for enrollment in postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program).

Article 57

Enrollment in a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program)

- (1) If the postgraduate university student (Ph.D. student) has the status of a full-time student, the University or its constituent concludes an employment agreement with the student who is thus employed as an assistant for a fixed period of six (6) years.
- (2) If the postgraduate university student (Ph.D. student) has the status of a part-time student, the University or its constituent concludes a study agreement with the student, and the agreement regulates mutual rights and obligations and determines the fee.

Article 58

Mentor

- (1) The Expert Council of the holder of the study program appoints a mentor or co-mentor to the student from among the teachers of the holder of the study program.
- (2) A person outside the ranks of teachers of the study program holder may also be appointed as a mentor or co-mentor.
- (3) The mentor or co-mentor assists the student in designing the Ph.D. study program, directs the student to the literature and relevant sources, helps in the application of appropriate scientific research methods, assists the student in choosing a topic and preparing a Ph.D. Thesis and assesses the candidate's progress.
- (4) The mentor submits a report on the student's work to the Expert Council or Dean or head of the department using the University form (*Annual Mentor's Report*) at least once a year.

Article 58 a

Student

- (1) The student submits a report on their work to the Expert Council of the holder of the study program by using the University form (*Annual Student's Report*) at least once a year.
- (2) The student has the right to change the mentor and/or the topic of the Ph.D. Thesis, with a written request of the student and the statement of the previous mentor, on the University form (*Request to change the topic and/or mentor*).

Article 59

Language

The program of the Ph.D. study program can be organized and conducted in Croatian or some other world language or the language of the profession when it comes to philological studies.

Article 60

Structure

Postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) consists of:

- implementation of scientific research under the supervision and with the help of mentors or co-mentors, which results in the preparation and defense of a Ph.D. Thesis which is worth at least 90 ECTS credits,
- obligatory and elective courses prescribed by the study program of a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) worth at least 30 ECTS credits,

- stay at other domestic or foreign universities or scientific institutions for at least three (3) months or conducting appropriate study activities that foster the internationalization of research, thus gaining at least 20 ECTS credits,
- other elective activities that include various forms of teaching and knowledge transfer, presentation of scientific results at domestic and international scientific conferences, writing scientific papers, etc., worth at least 10 ECTS credits.

Article 61

Exemption from attending classes and taking exams

A student may be exempted from attending classes and taking exams if they have cumulatively met the following conditions:

- published at least three (3) first-author papers in scientific or scientific-professional journals, according to the classification of the Ordinance for election to scientific titles of the National Council for Science, Higher Education and Technological Development which are thematically related to the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program),
- resided for at least one (1) semester at a domestic or foreign university or scientific institution,
- actively participated in at least two (2) international scientific conferences.

Article 62

Loss of student status

(1) A student who has enrolled in a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) as a full-time student loses the status of a student if they do not complete the study within six (6) years from the day of enrollment.

(2) A student who has enrolled in a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) as a part-time student loses the status of a student if they do not complete the study within ten (10) years from the day of enrollment.

(3) The student loses the status of a student of a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) when the Dean, the Head of the department, or the Expert Council of the holder of the study program accepts the negative report of the mentor. Before adopting a negative report, the student should be allowed to respond to the mentor's report.

(4) The student loses the status of a student of a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) when the Dean, Head of the department or the Expert Council of the holder of the study program passes a decision to suspend the procedure for obtaining a doctorate of science according to Article 67, paragraph 5 of this Study Regulations.

(5) The student loses the status of a student of a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) if they have been found to have a corresponding violation of the Code of Ethics of the University of Rijeka or the Rulebook on Disciplinary Responsibility of the University of Rijeka Students.

(6) A student loses the status of a full-time student of a postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) if they violate the employment obligations (dismissal due to the student's misconduct).

Article 63

Ph.D. Thesis (doctoral dissertation)

(1) A Ph.D. Thesis (doctoral dissertation) is an original and independent scientific work, and according to the methodology of processing and the degree of contribution to science, it is suitable for determining the student's ability to act as an independent researcher in science.

(2) A Ph.D. Thesis is prepared and created by a Ph.D. student under the supervision of a mentor. This paper is public and may be published subsequently.

(3) A Ph.D. Thesis can be submitted for evaluation and accepted for defense as a traditional (monographic) doctoral dissertation or a doctoral dissertation based on published scientific papers (Scandinavian model).

(4) Preparation and equipping of doctoral dissertations will be prescribed by the Rulebook on the Preparation and Equipping of Doctoral Dissertations of the University, Instructions for the Preparation and Equipping of Doctoral Dissertations at the University of Rijeka and the relevant regulations of the study program holder.

(5) The holder of the study program may determine additional conditions of prior quality control of traditional doctoral dissertations, such as publishing part of the results in internationally acclaimed journals or domestic journals with an international review.

Article 64

Dissertation topic

(1) The student submits an application for the topic of the doctoral dissertation as a condition for enrollment in the third year of study.

(2) The application for the topic of the doctoral dissertation contains:

- title of the paper,
- an overview of the corresponding scientific discipline and the subject of research,
- the purpose and objectives of the research,
- explanation of the working hypothesis and thesis,
- scientific methods to be applied,
- content framework,
- expected scientific contribution,
- application of research results,
- list of literature and other sources.

(3) The topic of the doctoral dissertation is indicated on the University form (*Application for the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis*).

- (4) Along with the application for the topic of the Ph.D. Thesis, the student must enclose:
- written consent of the mentor,
 - reasoned consent of the Ethics Committee of the holder of the study program when the research is conducted on humans, animals, and material of human or animal origin.

Article 65

Topic application defense

- (1) The student publicly defends the application of the topic of the doctoral dissertation before a Committee consisting of at least three (3) members in the scientific-teaching or scientific title who are recognized experts in the field of the topic in question, appointed by the Expert Council of the holder of the study program, lecturers, mentor, and Vice-Dean for postgraduate university study programs (Ph.D. study programs) or the Head of the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program).
- (2) The Committee is obliged to submit a report with the evaluation of the doctoral dissertation topic within a month from the day of the public defense of the topic application. The Committee submits the report to the Expert Council of the holder of the study program, on the University form (*Evaluation of the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis*).
- (3) The Committee's report must contain:
- a conclusion stating the assessment of the submitted topic of the doctoral dissertation and the reasons for which such an assessment is proposed,
 - report from the public defense of the topic of the doctoral dissertation,
 - proposal to the Expert Council,
 - date and signature of the Committee members.
- (4) The Expert Council is obliged to reach a decision on the application of the topic of the doctoral dissertation within two (2) months from the day of submitting the report and following the proposal of the Committee.
- (5) At the proposal of the Committee for the evaluation of the application for the topic of the doctoral dissertation, the Expert Council may request the doctoral student to amend the application. If the Committee deems the application for the topic of the doctoral dissertation to be negative after its revision has been requested, the Expert Council will pass a decision to suspend the procedure for obtaining a doctorate of science and inform the doctoral student thereof.
- (6) The Committee's positive evaluation is submitted on the University form (*Approval of the Topic of the Ph.D. Thesis*).

Article 66
Request for doctoral dissertation evaluation

(1) A student who has passed all exams at the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program), except for the student referred to in Article 61 of these Study Regulations, submits a written request for the evaluation of the doctoral dissertation.

(2) With the request, a student must also enclose:

- application,
- written consent of the mentor stating the work meets the criteria of the Ph.D. Thesis,
- unbound copies of the Ph.D. Thesis,
- curriculum vitae on a standardized form in two copies,
- index,
- summary of the Ph.D. Thesis (20-30 lines).

Article 67
Doctoral dissertation evaluation

(1) The Committee for the doctoral dissertation evaluation consists of at least three (3) members in the scientific-teaching, i.e. scientific title, who are renowned experts in the field of the doctoral dissertation, of which at least one (1) is from another university or scientific institution, appointed by the Expert Council of the study program holder.

(2) The Committee decides on the doctoral dissertation evaluation by a majority vote. The Committee is obliged to submit its report on the doctoral dissertation evaluation to the Expert Council no later than three (3) months from the receipt of the doctoral dissertation. The report of the Committee is submitted on the University form (*Ph.D. Thesis Evaluation Report*).

(3) The report of the Committee must contain a conclusion in which the original scientific contribution of the doctoral dissertation is explicitly stated. At the session of the Expert Council, the president of the Committee submits an abbreviated oral report with an explanation of the realized original scientific contribution of the doctoral dissertation. Along with the invitation to the session, the members of the Expert Council are provided with a written report on the doctoral dissertation evaluation.

(4) When the Expert Council considers that the Committee's report on the doctoral dissertation evaluation does not provide a reliable basis for deciding on the doctoral dissertation evaluation, it may elect new members to the Committee and request that they submit separate reports or appoint a new committee and ask it to reconsider and evaluate the doctoral dissertation and submit a report.

(5) At the proposal of the Committee for the doctoral dissertation evaluation, the Expert Council may request the student to amend the dissertation. If the Committee deems the doctoral dissertation to be negative after its revision has been requested, the Expert Council may pass a decision to suspend the procedure for obtaining a doctorate of science and inform the doctoral student thereof.

(6) When the Expert Council accepts a positive evaluation of the doctoral dissertation, as a rule, it appoints a committee for the doctoral dissertation defense at the same session. Members of the doctoral dissertation evaluation committee may also be members of the defense committee.

Article 68 **Doctoral dissertation defense**

(1) The right to defend their doctoral dissertation is obtained by the student who has passed all exams and fulfilled all the obligations of the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program).

(2) The doctoral dissertation must be available to the public at least ten (10) days before the defense.

(3) The doctoral dissertation defense must be held no later than two (2) months from the day when the Expert Council of the study program holder accepted the doctoral dissertation.

(4) The doctoral dissertation defense Committee determines the day and place of the doctoral dissertation defense, taking into account the proposal of the mentor and the student.

(5) The full name of the student, the title of the doctoral dissertation, the composition of the defense Committee, and the time, day and place of the defense are published on the bulletin board of the study program holder at least eight (8) days before the day set for the defense.

(6) If the student does not defend the dissertation without a justified reason within the period referred to in the previous paragraph, the Dean or Head of the department at the proposal of the defense Committee suspends the procedure for obtaining a doctorate of science and informs the student thereof.

(7) A doctoral dissertation may be defended only once.

(8) If the results of the doctoral dissertation research include an innovation suitable for the protection of intellectual property rights, the doctoral student and the mentor may report this to the University Technology Transfer Office. In that case, the doctoral student may, with the consent of the mentor and before submitting the doctoral dissertation for evaluation, request that the submitted doctoral dissertation be treated secretly, until the moment of public defense.

(9) The Technology Transfer Office conducts the procedure of legal protection and commercialization of research results following the Rulebook on the Intellectual Property Management at the University of Rijeka. In that case, the public defense may be postponed, with the consent of the doctoral student, for a maximum of one (1) year from the submission of the doctoral dissertation for evaluation. The request for postponement of the public hearing is submitted on the University form (*Request for the Postponement of the Public Hearing*). The application must be accompanied by a certificate of confirmation from the Technology Transfer Office.

Article 69

Defense protocol

- (1) The defense protocol is regulated by the University Form (*Ph.D. Thesis Defense Protocol*).
- (2) The chairman of the Committee initiates the defense procedure, presenting general information about the student, the results of his studies and his work, and reads the explanation of his Ph.D. Thesis evaluation.
- (3) After the report of the chairman of the Committee, the candidate presents the content of the Ph.D. Thesis and explains the conclusions reached in the Thesis.
- (4) After the candidate presents the content of the Ph.D. Thesis, the Committee members ask questions and make remarks, and may seek further explanations regarding the Thesis and its defense.
- (5) The candidate is obliged to answer the questions asked by the members of the Committee and others present and provide the requested explanations.
- (6) When the Committee determines that the subject of the defense has been sufficiently discussed, the chairman of the Committee announces that the defense has been concluded and the Committee withdraws to discuss and decide by vote.
- (7) The record is kept on the defense of the Ph.D. Thesis. The person in charge of the defense minutes is appointed by the professional council of the study program holder.
- (8) The defense of the Ph.D. Thesis is public.

Article 70

The result of the defense

- (1) Upon successful completion of the Ph.D. Thesis defense, the Committee decides on the result of the defense, which may read as follows:
 - the candidate has defended the thesis by unanimous decision of the Committee,
 - the candidate has defended the thesis by a majority vote of the members of the Committee,
 - the candidate did not defend the thesis.
- (2) The chairman of the Committee shall publicly declare the Committee's decision.

Article 71

Ph.D. Thesis

- (1) After successfully defending the Ph.D. Thesis, the student shall add (fill in) a sheet with information about the author of the thesis and the composition of the Committee (I. Author, II. Thesis, III. Composition of the Evaluation Committee, Defense Committee, signatures of the Committee members), with the date of the defense.
- (2) The student submits the Ph.D. Thesis to the secretary of the study program holder in at least three (3) copies within ten (10) days before the defense, of which one (1) copy is submitted to the

National and University Library in Zagreb and one (1) copy is submitted to the University Library Rijeka.

(3) The libraries must be provided with an electronic version of the Ph.D. Thesis in the format and medium prescribed by the University of Rijeka Senate unless such an act is not possible for objective reasons.

Article 72

Ph.D. Thesis with Delayed Publication

(1) When research within the postgraduate university study program (Ph.D. study program) is carried out for the needs of the economy or in cooperation with a research center in the field of economy, the council of the study program holder may exclude the public from the Ph.D. Thesis defense and delay its public disclosure for protection of intellectual property up to three (3) years, i.e. until the public announcement of the patent application.

(2) The Ph.D. Thesis with delayed publication shall be defended before a Committee consisting of at least three (3) members from the University. The members of the Committee shall sign a non-disclosure statement.

Article 73

Earning a Ph.D.

A student who completes a postgraduate university study program (doctoral study program) shall obtain an academic degree of a Doctor of Science (Ph.D.) with a designation of a scientific area and field.

Article 74

Ph.D. Degree

(1) Upon the decision passed by the Committee for the Doctoral Thesis Defense, the University shall issue a Ph.D. Degree.

(2) The Ph.D. Diploma shall be handed to the student by the Rector at the ceremony.

Article 75

Withdrawal of a Ph.D. Degree

(1) The Ph.D. Degree shall be withdrawn should it be established that it was acquired contrary to the prescribed conditions for its acquisition, a gross violation of study regulations or if the doctoral thesis is a plagiarised work, forgery, or has been obtained by the student committing a criminal offense.

(2) Upon the Ph.D. revocation, the vocation for the relevant field, which is one of the prerequisites for the Ph.D. acquisition, shall also be annulled.

Article 76

The Ph.D. revocation procedure

- (1) The Ph.D. revocation procedure is executed by the Senate.
- (2) The procedure shall be initiated upon the request of the Expert Council of the University constituent.
- (3) The Senate shall appoint a five-member Committee to consider and evaluate the proposal for the Ph.D. revocation. The Committee members are renowned experts in the field of the doctoral dissertation in question, where one member is from another university or scientific institution. The mentor cannot be a member of the Committee.
- (4) The report of the Committee must contain a conclusion stating explicitly that the doctoral thesis was an appropriated scientific work or a forgery, or that it was obtained by the student committing a criminal offense.
- (5) Based on the report of the Committee, the Senate passes the decision.

Article 77

Revocation of the Ph.D. Diploma

- (1) If the Senate passes a decision on the revocation of a Doctorate of Science, the Rector shall rescind the Ph.D. diploma.
- (2) A person whose Ph.D. degree has been rescinded is obliged to return their Ph.D. diploma to the University.
- (3) The Ph.D. diploma is annulled by placing an annulment clause on it.
- (4) The Decision on revoking the Ph.D. diploma shall be entered in the Book of Ph.D. Theses of the University.

Article 78

Honorary Doctorate

The acquisition and withdrawal of honorary doctorates are regulated by the Rulebook on Awards and Recognitions of the University of Rijeka.

Postgraduate specialist study program

Article 79

Application of the provisions on a graduate study program

The structure and implementation of the postgraduate specialist study program shall be appropriately subject to the provisions of the University of Rijeka Statute and these Study Regulations relating to the graduate university study program unless otherwise defined by the postgraduate specialist study program.

VI. PROFESSIONAL STUDY PROGRAMS

Article 80

Application of university study provisions

The provisions of the University of Rijeka Statute and this Study Regulations relating to the undergraduate university and graduate university study programs shall apply, as appropriate, to the structure and implementation of professional study programs.

VII. QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT

Article 81

Monitoring and improving the quality of studies is regulated by the Rulebook on the Quality Assurance and Improvement System of the University of Rijeka.

Pursuant to the 2nd Decision on Amendments to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations (CLASS: 003-01/20-03/02; REG. No.: 2170-57-01-20-119, dated April 21st, 2020) adopted by the University Senate on its 41st session held on April 21st, 2020, Article 82 is hereby added to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations, which reads as follows:

Article 82

(1) In the event of a natural disaster, state of war or other imminent threat to the population, the University Senate may, in a manner other than those prescribed by these Study Regulations, regulate the adoption and amendments to the syllabus and academic year calendar, forms and methods of monitoring students, methods of assessment and evaluation of students' work during classes, method of examination and completion of studies, i.e. progress through studies.

(2) The University Senate shall make an appropriate decision on the issues referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article at the proposal of the Expert Council of the Center for Studies.

VIII. TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

The Decision on Amendments to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations (CLASS: 011-01/18-01/13; REG. No.: 2170-57-03-18-1) has entered into force on May 30th, 2018.

Article 50

This Decision on Amendments to the Study Regulations applies to all students of the University of Rijeka and is applicable from the academic year 2018/2019.

Article 51

The University of Rijeka Rectorate's administrative service is hereby authorized to edit and issue the consolidated text of the University of Rijeka Study Regulations.

Article 52

This Decision on Amendments to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations shall enter into force on the eighth day after its publication on the notice board of the University of Rijeka.


MADAM RECTOR
Prof. Snježana Prijic-Samaržija, Ph.D.

According to Article 51 of the Decision on Amendments to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations (CLASS: 011-01/18-01/13; REG. No.: 2170-57-03-18-1, dated 22 May 2018), on June 5th, 2018, the Legal Affairs Department at the University of Rijeka Rectorate established a new consolidated text of the University of Rijeka Study Regulations.

The consolidated text of the University of Rijeka Study Regulations includes the consolidated text of the University of Rijeka Study Regulations (CLASS: 011-01/15-01/09; REG. No.: 2170-57-01-15-1, dated 15 February 2015) and the Decision on Amendments to the University of Rijeka Study Regulations (CLASS: 011-01/18-01/13; REG. No.: 2170-57-03-18-1, dated 22 May 2018), indicating the time of their entry into force.

CLASS: 011-01/18-01/13
REG. No.: 2170-57-01-18-2
Rijeka, June 5th, 2018

SECRETARY-GENERAL
Roberta Hlača Mlinar, LLB